

ESTIMATION OF GENETIC PARAMETERS BASED ON THE MATERNAL GENETIC COMPONENTS FOR ECONOMIC TRAITS IN LATEP

Estimacion de parametros geneticos pasada en los componentes maternal-geneticos para los rasgos economicos en ponedor

BONG KUG OHH*
JUNG SOU YEC**

KOREA

INTRODUCTION

Without more precise approach to analyze genetic parameters, improving egg production showing the plateau during several decades will be very difficult (Nordskog et al., 1974; Emsley et al., 1978) and Sheldon(1980) pointed out the importance of molecular genetics for breaking the plateau in egg production.

At first Willham(1966) reported that partition of genetic components such as additive variance due to the individual's own genotype and maternal genetic variance due to the dam's genotype was important in analyzing the genetic parameter and determining the response to selection. Through analysis of genetic parameters following the Willham's model, Alschwede and Robinson(1971), Vaccaro and Van Vleck(1972), and Hanrahan and Eisen(1973,1974) proposed for pig, poultry and mouse, respectively that the amount of genetic improvement seemed to be dependent upon interaction between additive and maternal genetic effects. Vaccaro and Van Vleck(1972) found that maternal genetic effects were important in determining the variation of all traits in chicks and also that the correlations of the additive and maternal genetic effects for most of the traits were calculated as negative. Silva et al.(1976) studied negative correlations between additive and maternal genetic effects could be considered as an aspect applicable to egg production in poultry.

Therefore it was suggested that heritability estimates might be biased, either upward or downward due to the correlations between additive and maternal genetic effects (Alschwede and Robinson, 1971; Hanrahan and Eisen, 1973). As a more practical application, Deese and Koger(1967), Hohenboken and Brinks(1972), and Hanrahan and Eisen(1974) calculated the adjusted heritability including additive variance, maternal genetic variance, and covariance between additive and maternal genetic effects for cattle, pig and mouse, showing the importance of correlations with additive and maternal genetic effects.

As the precise estimates of genetic parameters involving maternal genetic variance was rare for chicks, this study intended to analyze precisely genetic parameter with additive variance, maternal genetic variance and covariance between additive and maternal genetic effects, and reveal to the reasons for

* Dept. of Animal Science, College of Agriculture, Seoul National University, Suwon 170, Korea.

** Dept. of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Animal Husbandry, Yeungnam Univ., Kyeongsan 632, Korea.

plateau of productivity in selected line and synthesized line in egg type chick.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two Leghorn lines used for this study were 1131 birds of line "A" and 1587 birds of line "D". Line "A" was produced from imported flocks in 1977 at College of Agriculture, Seoul National University, as synthetic population and line "D" has been closed flock at Livestock Experimental Station in O.R.D. since import from Japan in 1972. Records were terminated when birds were 64 weeks of age in line A and 43 weeks of age in line D. For each trait variance components and heritabilities were estimated using the $Y_{ijkl} = \mu + Y_i + S_j + D_{ijk} + e_{ijkl}$ where, Y_{ijkl} is the observation of the l^{th} progeny from the k^{th} sire in the i^{th} year, μ is population mean and e_{ijkl} is random error. It was assumed that the Y_i , S_j , D_{ijk} and e_{ijkl} were assumed to be distributed with zero mean and variances 6_y^2 , 6_s^2 , 6_D^2 and 6_e^2 , respectively. The sire and dam components of variance were used to estimate the heritability of each trait.

In order to be able to estimate the additive and maternal genetic effects, there were computed covariances between records of pairs of relatives chosen for this study. The kinds of relatives used as the expected coefficients for arbitrary components of additive and maternal genetic variance and covariances including the different covariances computed are given in Table 1. These coefficients were calculated according to the procedure indicated by Willham(1963).

Table 1. Expectations of the components of variance and covariance for covariances between various relatives.

Covariances of relatives (Cov P_x, P_y)	6^2_{Ao}	6^2_{Am}	6^2_{AoAm}	6^2_{Ec}	6^2_{Ew}
Paternal half sibs	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	0
Full sibs	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	1	1	0
Within full sibs	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	1
Dam-offspring	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	5/4	0	0
Maternal aunt-niece	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	3/4	0	0

The total phenotypic variance for economic trait was defined by the casual components of variance; $6_p^2 = 6_{Ao}^2 + 6_{Am}^2 + 6_{AoAm}^2 + 6_{Ec}^2 + 6_{Ew}^2$, where 6_p^2 is phenotypic variance, 6_{Ao}^2 ; and 6_{Am}^2 are direct and maternal genetic variances, 6_{AoAm} is covariance between direct and maternal genetic effects, 6_{Ec}^2 is common environmental variance and 6_{Ew}^2 is random environmental variance.

Heritabilities from additive variance component (h^2_o), maternal genetic variance components (h^2_m), additive and maternal genetic variances and covariance between additive and maternal genetic effects (h^2_t), and correlation between additive and maternal genetic effects (r_{AoAm}) were calculated as follows;

$$h^2_o = \frac{6^2 A_o}{6^2 p}, \quad h^2_m = \frac{6^2 A_m}{6^2 p}, \quad h^2_t = \frac{6^2 A_o + \frac{1}{2} 6^2 A_m + 3/26 A_o A_m}{6^2 p}, \quad \text{and } r_{A_o A_m} = \frac{6 A_o A_m}{6 A_o 6 A_m}.$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Heritability estimates from sire, dam and their combined components through sib analysis of line A and D are shown in Table 2. Heritabilities of egg number and egg weight of line D which were smaller than those of line A seemed to be caused by small genetic variance due to selection importance. Heritabilities from sire component in line A for body weights, egg numbers, egg weight, and first egg day, except egg numbers from 44 weeks to 64 weeks of age and initial egg weight, were larger than those from dam variance components. Also those of line D were the same as line A in all traits except egg number and initial egg weight. In these results larger heritabilities from sire components than those from dam components were suggested as the influence of sex linked effect, on the other hand smaller estimates from sire components compared with those from dam components were interpreted as a maternal effect (Han and Ohh, 1975; McClung, 1976).

Table 2. Heritabilities from sire, dam and combined variance components in lines "A" and "D"

Trait	Line A			Line D		
	h^2_s	h^2_d	h^2_{s+d}	h^2_s	h^2_d	h^2_{s+d}
8 wk B.W.	0.629	0.355	0.492	0.631	0.634	0.633
Initial B.W.	0.508	0.197	0.353	0.382	0.252	0.317
43wk B.W.	0.591	0.276	0.434	0.439	0.288	0.364
64wk B.W.	0.508	0.293	0.401	-	-	-
1st egg day	0.555	0.392	0.473	0.420	0.149	0.285
43wk E.N.	0.506	0.160	0.333	0.090	0.300	0.195
64wk E.N.	0.230	0.271	0.251	-	-	-
Total E.N.	0.415	0.314	0.365	-	-	-
Initial E.W.	0.300	0.367	0.334	0.194	0.066	0.130
43wk E.W.	0.646	0.519	0.582	0.318	0.427	0.372
64wk E.W.	0.544	0.314	0.429	-	-	-

And heritabilities (h^2_o and h^2_m), adjusted heritabilities (h^2_t), and the correlation ($6 A_o A_m$) were shown in Table 3 and 4. Heritabilities of additive effects (h^2_o) were same as those from sire component through sib-analysis. Heritabilities for maternal genetic effects of line A were 0.36-0.48 for body weight at different ages, 0.31 for the first egg day, 0.09-0.68 for egg numbers, 0-0.59 for egg weights, while line D showed smaller values, 0-0.20, than those of A line in all traits. In this study it was found that maternal genetic effects were important in determining the variation for all economic traits except egg weights before 43 weeks of age, and were more important in

synthetic line A than closed line D. Especially heritability for maternal genetic effect in egg number of line D which were two times as large as that for additive effect was suggested as an important factor in solving the plateau.

Table 3. Estimates of heritability from direct (h^2_o) and maternal (h^2_m) genetic variances, adjusted heritability (h^2_t) and correlation (r_{AoAm}) between direct and maternal genetic effects in line "A"

Trait	Estimate h^2_o	h^2_m	h^2_t	r_{AoAm}
8 wk B.W	0.629	0.478	0.311	-0.676
Initial B.W	0.508	0.358	0.219	-0.731
43 wk B.W	0.591	0.383	0.424	-0.502
64 wk B.W	0.508	0.451	0.383	-0.489
1st egg day	0.555	0.312	0.136	-0.921
43 wk E.N	0.506	0.677	0.177	-0.761
64 wk E.N	0.230	0.091	0.103	-0.798
Total E.N	0.415	0.285	-0.034	-0.989
Initial E.W	0.300	0	0.208	-
43 wk E.W	0.646	0	0.968	-
64 wk E.W	0.544	0.586	0.357	-0.567

Table 4. Estimates of heritability from direct (h^2_o) and maternal (h^2_m) genetic variances, adjusted heritability (h^2_t), and correlation (r_{AoAm}) between direct and maternal genetic effects in line "D"

Trait	Estimate h^2_o	h^2_m	h^2_t	r_{AoAm}
8 wk B.W	0.631	0	0.753	-
Initial B.W	0.343	0.088	0.212	-0.670
43 wk B.W	0.444	0.160	0.248	-0.691
1st egg day	0.420	0.172	0.116	-0.954
43 wk E.N	0.090	0.196	0.039	-0.748
Initial E.W	0.194	0	0.096	-
43 wk E.W	0.318	0	0.842	-

Adjusted heritabilities (h^2_t) in line A were 0.22-0.42 for body weights, 0.14 for the first egg day, 0-0.18 for egg numbers and 0.21-0.97 for egg weight and in line D, 0.21-0.75 for body weights, 0.12 for the first egg day, 0.04 for egg weights. Egg numbers, first egg day, and initial egg weights in both lines showed generally low estimates, on the contrary the estimates for body weights and egg weights after 43 weeks of age in both lines were medium or high. Considering the importance of maternal genetic effects, heritability estimation including additive variance, maternal genetic variance and covariance between additive and maternal genetic effects seemed to be more reasonable in utilizing poultry breeding than that from sire or dam component through sib analysis.

- Sci., Vol.56;121-146.
4. Han, S.U. and B.K. Oh, 1975. Studies on the heritabilities and genetic correlations among egg weight increase and certain other traits in egg production stocks of chicken. *Korean J. of Animal Sci.*, Vol. 17(1);15-45.
 5. Hanrahan, J.P. and E.J. Eisen, 1973. Sexual dimorphism and direct and maternal genetic effects on body weight in mice. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. Vol. 43;39-45.
 6. Hanrahan, J.P. and E.J. Eisen, 1974. Genetic variation in litter size and 12 day weight in mice and their relationship with postweaning growth. *Anim. Prod.*, Vol.19;13-23.
 7. Hohenboken, W.D. and J.S. Brinks, 1972. Relationship between direct and maternal effects on growth in Herefords: 11. Partitioning of covariance between relatives. *J. Animal Sci.*, Vol. 32;26-34.
 8. McClung, M.R., A.B.S. Wang and W.T. Jones, 1976. Response to selection for time interval between ovipositions in the hens. *Poultry Sci.*, Vol.55;160-171.
 9. Nordskog, A.W., H.S. Yolman, D.W. Casey and C.Y. Lin, 1974. Selection in small populations of chickens, *Poultry Sci.*, Vol.53;1188-1219.
 10. Sheldon, B.L., 1980. Perspectives for poultry genetics in the age of molecular biology. *World's Poultry Sci. J.*, Vol. 36;143-173.
 11. Silva, M.A., P.J. Berger and A.W. Nordskog, 1976. On estimating non-additive genetic parameters in chickens. *Brit. Poultry Sci.*, Vol. 17;525-538.
 12. Vaccaro, R. and L.D. VanVleck, 1972. Genetics of economic traits in the Cornell randombred control population. *Poultry Sci.*, Vol.51;1556-1565.
 13. Willham, R.L., 1963. The covariance between relatives for characters composed of components contributed by related individuals. *Biometrics*, vol. 19;18-27.