

IMPROVING A SIMPLE INDEX FOR ESTIMATED BREEDING VALUE,
WHICH REFLECTS THE REAL BREEDING VALUE OF PEDIGREE
BULLS IN DIFFERENT GENOTYPES

Einfache Methode zur Bildung von den effektiven Zucht-
wert richtig zurückgebenden Zuchtwertzahlen bei Zucht-
bullen von unterschiedlichen Genotypen und Nutzungs-
richtungen

Pál HAJAS *
J. DOHY **
A. JÁVOR ***

HUNGARY

Possibilities of assessing the meat production abilities of different cattle breeds and genotypes in more depth was started after 1970 in Hungary. Naturally, there have been preliminary experiments conducted with Hereford, Charolais, Angus and some dairy breeds respectively, but the base of beef production for a couple of decades was the Hungarian Flekvvieh.

Progeny tests of hereditary traits for beef production of pedigree bulls started in 1974. We have been assessing the most typical meat production traits within the evaluation system and classifying of bulls were as per scoring. Among these traits, most significant was the average daily gain (ADG), daily carcass yield, live animal scoring values and killing out percentage. We have evaluated the 18,061 offsprings of 956 pedigree bulls, classified into twenty (20) different genotypes between 1975 and 1980. (See table 1.)

* Boscoop Agroindustrial Associated Co., Department of Research and Development, Nefelejcs str.2. H-2040 Budaörs, Hungary.

** University of Veterinary Science, H-1400 Budapest, Hungary.

*** University of Agricultural Sciences, Böszörményi str. 138. H-4015 Debrecen, Hungary.

In the course of evaluation, we concluded, that the Hungarian system of pedigree bull classification (which incorporates cca. a 50% ADG, 25% daily carcass yield, live animal scoring values and a 12,5 - 12,5 killing out percentage in offspring performance) does not reflect exactly the real breeding value of pedigree bulls and has a negative impact in selecting the best bulls for breeding programs. Thus, we have worked out, a simple method, which with the help of progeny test is adequate for the assessment of breeding value in cases, when the absolute value of the previously mentioned four (4) meat production traits are available.

At first, code of 18,061 offsprings of 956 pedigree bulls were done as traits:

Absolute max.:	<u>score</u> 9,00
Average:	5,00
Min. and below value:	1,00

In the second phase, each of the traits of the pedigree bulls were determine i.e.:

- if the pedigree bull was classified for intensive feeding program, then ADG will be the dominant factor
- if, pedigree bull was classified for large and good quality beef production for instance, then killing out percentage will be the dominant factor, etc.

In case pedigree bull classification was combined with the right correction factor relevant to each type, then **breeding values** may be of great help in the pre-selection of terminal sires. However, we would like to point out, in selecting terminal sires, that examination of reproductive traits (sperm production, fertility, ability and effect for easy calving etc.) should not be omitted.

For the evaluation of progeny test values and coded values of pedigree bulls, we applied a four (4) variation regressive analysis. This method helped us to determine the correlation between the absolute scoring value and the previously determined beef production traits value. Comparative relationship assessment revealed the

In the course of evaluation, we concluded, that the Hungarian system of pedigree bull classification (which incorporates cca. a 50% ADG, 25% daily carcass yield, live animal scoring values and a 12,5 - 12,5 killing out percentage in offspring performance) does not reflect exactly the real breeding value of pedigree bulls and has a negative impact in selecting the best bulls for breeding programs. Thus, we have worked out, a simple method, which with the help of progeny test is adequate for the assessment of breeding value in cases, when the absolute value of the previously mentioned four (4) meat production traits are available.

At first, code of 18,061 offsprings of 956 pedigree bulls were done as traits:

Absolute max.:	<u>score</u> 9,00
Average:	5,00
Min. and below value:	1,00

In the second phase, each of the traits of the pedigree bulls were determine i.e.:

- if the pedigree bull was classified for intensive feeding program, then ADG will be the dominant factor
- if, pedigree bull was classified for large and good quality beef production for instance, then killing out percentage will be the dominant factor, etc.

In case pedigree bull classification was combined with the right correction factor relevant to each type, then breeding values may be of great help in the pre-selection of terminal sires. However, we would like to point out, in selecting terminal sires, that examination of reproductive traits (sperm production, fertility, ability and effect for easy calving etc.) should not be omitted.

For the evaluation of progeny test values and coded values of pedigree bulls, we applied a four (4) variation regressive analysis. This method helped us to determine the correlation between the absolute scoring value and the previously determined beef production traits value. Comparative relationship assessment revealed the

deficiency of the bull classification system currently being in use with the consent of the government. In spite of the fact that the National Feeding and Animal Breeding Inspectorate (N.F.A.B.I.) applies the equation

$$Y_0 = 4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

which in the scoring value of "x" are next:

- x_1 = average daily gain
- x_2 = daily carcass yield
- x_3 = live animal scoring
- x_4 = killing out percentage

and considers the scoring values of each of the animals in the above mentioned ratio, in the course of classification, only the absolute and relative performance of the pedigree bull nominee is evaluated within the classification cycle.

However, complex values drawn up by us, approach the animals' (and genotypes') real breeding value as per the standards of progeny test-results of observed age-group in identical circumstances. Breeding values of classification, which become dominant for the different selective purposes were calculated as:

$$Y = \frac{ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 + dx_4}{72} \cdot 100$$

In the calculation of EBU-indexes, the weighting ratio was different value.

The ratio in Y_1 index: 4 - 2 - 1 - 1.

In Y_2 the classification value of four (4) production traits determined with equal weighting, and Y_3 complex value indicating the killing out percentage as preference-selective factor. The Y_4 complex value of daily carcass yield expressed as preference-selective factor, and the Y_5 complex value indicating the conformation value as the most simple evaluating traits and emphasized ADG.

Concerning the 956 evaluated bulls overall and as per breeds (stressing the nine (9) most important genotypes, all those five (5) scoring values, which accordingly make the different traits important, reflect the relationship of real progeny performance and

Table 2. The complex values and their relation with pedigree bulls progeny in nine different genotypes (beef production traits)

	Y_0	r_0	Y_1	r_1	Y_2	r_2	Y_3	r_3	Y_4	r_4	Y_5	r_5
Hung.Fleckvieh	59,73	0,50	58,55	0,99	58,33	0,99	58,08	0,99	58,16	0,99	59,18	0,99
Aust.Fleckvieh	59,92	0,51	59,04	0,98	59,29	0,99	58,76	0,99	58,70	0,99	59,96	0,94
Simmental	66,81	0,61	57,51	0,96	58,15	0,69	57,27	0,50	57,86	0,95	58,04	0,97
Limousin	62,50	0,55	53,43	0,98	58,06	0,99	59,63	0,99	54,88	0,98	50,38	0,95
Hereford (UK)	55,98	0,87	25,05	0,99	32,76	0,98	36,81	0,99	25,58	0,99	20,44	0,99
Hereford (US)	55,13	0,75	20,88	0,91	28,27	0,97	30,06	0,98	21,19	0,95	18,81	0,83
Eur.Red.Fries.	58,34	0,57	53,77	0,99	53,43	0,97	53,84	0,93	54,00	0,99	53,11	0,97
Holstein Fr.	58,07	0,72	50,72	0,99	44,29	0,99	47,05	0,99	49,20	0,99	49,47	0,99
Red Holstein	58,49	0,71	49,90	0,99	43,79	0,99	44,77	0,99	47,90	0,99	50,93	0,95

Table 1. Beef Progeny Test Results of Different Breeds and Crosses in Hungary /1975-80/

Sire breeds	No. balls	No. offspring	Age at slaughter /day/	Weight /kg/	Average daily g. /gr/	Average g. carcass /gr/	Live scoring /point/	Killing out % /%/	400 day weight /kg/
Hmg.Flekvieh	566	10 658	451	544	1211	705	86,70	60,88	483
Aust.Flekvieh	134	2 438	451	545	1212	706	87,30	61,00	483
Simmental	15	268	458	544	1192	706	87,29	60,68	474
Limousin	24	676	466	533	1145	686	86,50	62,87	457
Hereford /UK/	8	106	525	459	881	528	79,31	61,77	350
Hereford /US/	13	152	529	441	824	463	80,48	59,94	328
Eur.Red Fries.	27	383	457	541	1189	694	84,30	60,48	474
Holstein Fr.	48	1 153	436	527	1210	683	76,96	59,17	484
Red Holstein	38	720	446	542	1217	672	78,36	58,26	485
Eur.Red Fr.Fl.	5	79	463	578	1249	765	89,30	59,07	499
Red Holstein Fl.	48	678	438	531	1211	702	83,63	60,21	485
Red Holstein R1.	7	101	455	566	1246	714	85,01	61,68	497
Red Holstein R2.	4	69	432	536	1239	699	82,97	58,02	496
Red Holst.X	3	44	428	489	1144	686	86,50	62,87	457
Kostromskaya	2	113	509	554	1087	-	-	-	435
Blonde d'Aquit.	1	14	441	570	1292	766	80,60	63,70	517
Impr.Flekvieh	2	38	436	551	1262	821	90,45	61,50	505
Bavarian Flekv.	3	37	439	518	1181	651	86,80	62,63	472
Czech Flekvieh	1	15	453	558	1230	621	91,50	61,70	493
Milking Flekv.	7	319	493	554	1127	651	87,91	61,07	450

the breeding values calculated by us. (See table 2.), versus the official scoring value, which does not provide a realistic comparison and does not reflect real progeny performances.

Due to the fact, that in the course of pedigree bull classification (scoring), the terminal dam and the terminal sires selection has to be performed, we find comparison of each of the genotypes necessary to one another.

Because the N.F.A.B.I. classification (a cyclic comparison) is only performed within a genotype the scale of values is not reflected in the progeny performance observed.

With the application of breeding values scale of values for individual genotypes evaluated as per meat production traits emerges to the surface. We reckon, that beef progeny bull classification as per breeding value-figures can be accomplished with good results in situations, where they are able to measure the performance in ADG, daily carcass yield, killing out percentage and can take care of live animal scoring. This method is appropriate for evaluating the annual or bi-yearly averages of breeds and for the consideration of standards as well.

Training (of method) is easy, rapid is introduction, and is good up until the point, when for instance conditions for the introduction of the BLUP method is created.

SUMMARY

Progeny tests of hereditary traits for beef production of pedigree bull started in 1974 in Hungary. The classification of pedigree bulls the average daily gain, daily carcass yield, live animal scoring and killing out percentage had more important role. Our system created on the basis of 956 pedigree bulls and 18061 offspring.

We improved a simple index for high quality estimation of the breeding value of the pedigree bulls with the above mentioned production traits in different breeding goals.

The application of method is easy and fast, rapid introduction and is good up until the point, when for instance conditions for the introduction of the BLUP method is created.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Die Prüfung von Zuchtbullen auf die Heritabilität ihrer Fleischproduktion wurde in Ungarn von uns in 1974. begonnen, In der Bullenbeurteilung erhielt die Körpermasse- und Knochenfleischproduktion, Formpunktzahl sowie das Prozent der Fleischhausbeute eine wichtigere Rolle. Unsere Methode wurde nach diesen Gesichtspunkten, aufgrund der Angaben von 956 Zuchtbullen bzw. auf den der 18061 Nachkömmlinge entwickelt.

Es wurde ein einfacher Selektionsindex gebildet, der unter Berücksichtigung der bereits angeführten Leistungsmerkmale- auch bei anderen Selektionszielen- für die Zuchtwertschätzung von Zuchtbullen mit hoher Genauigkeit geeignet ist. Die Anwendung der Methode ist leicht und schnell und wird solange bestehen, bis die Bedingungen der Einführung der moderneren BLUP-Methode geschaffen werden können.